

SO. CAL. EQUAL ACCESS GROUP
Jason J. Kim (SBN 190246)
Jason Yoon (SBN 306137)
Kevin Hong (SBN 299040)
101 S. Western Ave., Second Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90004
Telephone: (213) 205-6560
cm@SoCalEAG.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff
CLIFTON WALKER

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

CLIFTON WALKER,
Plaintiff,
vs.

A & I WHEELS LLC D/B/A PS2
CUSTOM WHEELS & TIRES;
CENTURY 4000, LLC; and DOES 1 to 10,
Defendants.

Case No.:

COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE
RELIEF AND DAMAGES FOR DENIAL
OF CIVIL RIGHTS OF A DISABLED
PERSON IN VIOLATIONS OF

1. AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES
ACT, 42 U.S.C. §12131 et seq.;
2. CALIFORNIA'S UNRUH CIVIL
RIGHTS ACT;
3. CALIFORNIA'S DISABLED
PERSONS ACT;
4. CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY
CODE;
5. NEGLIGENCE

Plaintiff CLIFTON WALKER ("Plaintiff") complains of Defendants A & I
WHEELS LLC D/B/A PS2 CUSTOM WHEELS & TIRES; CENTURY 4000, LLC; and
DOES 1 to 10 ("Defendants") and alleges as follows:

PARTIES

1. Plaintiff is a California resident with a physical disability. Plaintiff suffers
from paraplegia, chronic pain with stage 4 pressure ulcer of sacrum, right heel and right

1 hip, and is substantially limited in his ability to walk. Plaintiff requires the use of a
2 wheelchair at all times when traveling in public.

3 2. Defendants are, or were at the time of the incident, the real property owners,
4 business operators, lessors and/or lessees of the real property for a tire store (“Business”) located at or about 4427 W. Century Blvd., Inglewood, California.

6 3. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate or
7 otherwise of Defendant DOES 1 through 10, and each of them, are unknown to Plaintiff,
8 who therefore sues said Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will ask leave of
9 Court to amend this Complaint when the true names and capacities have been
10 ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and, based thereon, alleges that each such
11 fictitiously named Defendants are responsible in some manner, and therefore, liable to
12 Plaintiff for the acts herein alleged.

13 4. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that, at all relevant
14 times, each of the Defendants was the agent, employee, or alter-ego of each of the other
15 Defendants, and/or was acting in concert with each of the other Defendants, and in doing
16 the things alleged herein was acting with the knowledge and consent of the other
17 Defendants and within the course and scope of such agency or employment relationship.

18 5. Whenever and wherever reference is made in this Complaint to any act or
19 failure to act by a defendant or Defendants, such allegations and references shall also be
20 deemed to mean the acts and failures to act of each Defendant acting individually, jointly
21 and severally.

22 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

23 6. The Court has jurisdiction of this action pursuant to 28 USC §§ 1331 and
24 1343 for violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, (42 USC §12101, *et*
25 *seq.*).

26 7. Pursuant to pendant jurisdiction, attendant and related causes of action,
27 arising from the same nucleus of operating facts, are also brought under California law,
28

1 including, but not limited to, violations of California Civil Code §§51, 51.5, 52(a), 52.1,
2 54, 54., 54.3 and 55.

3 8. Plaintiff's claims are authorized by 28 USC §§ 2201 and 2202.

4 9. Venue is proper in this court pursuant to 28 USC §1391(b). The real
5 property which is the subject of this action is located in this district, Los Angeles County,
6 California, and that all actions complained of herein take place in this district.

7 **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

8 10. In or about October of 2024, Plaintiff went to the Business.

9 11. The Business is a tire store business establishment, open to the public, and is
10 a place of public accommodation that affects commerce through its operation. Defendants
11 provide parking spaces for customers.

12 12. While attempting to enter the Business during each visit, Plaintiff personally
13 encountered a number of barriers that interfered with his ability to use and enjoy the
14 goods, services, privileges, and accommodations offered at the Business.

15 13. To the extent of Plaintiff's personal knowledge, the barriers at the Business
16 included, but were not limited to, the following:

- 17 a. Defendants failed to comply with the federal and state standards for
18 the parking space designated for persons with disabilities. Defendants
19 failed to provide proper van accessible space designated for the
20 persons with disabilities as the blue hatched lines, which indicate the
21 presence of the access aisle were severely deteriorated, hindering
22 visibility.
- 23 b. Defendants failed to comply with the federal and state standards for
24 the parking space designated for persons with disabilities. Defendants
25 failed to provide a van parking space and the adjacent accessible aisle
26 with level surface slope as there were cracks and potholes causing an
27 uneven surface.
- 28

1 c. Defendants failed to comply with the federal and state standards for
2 the parking space designated for persons with disabilities. Defendants
3 failed to maintain the mark on the space with the International Symbol
4 of Accessibility, resulting in the paint becoming severely deteriorated,
5 hindering visibility.

6 14. These barriers and conditions denied Plaintiff the full and equal access to the
7 Business and caused Plaintiff difficulty and frustration. Plaintiff wishes to return and
8 patronize the Business; however, Plaintiff is deterred from visiting the Business because
9 his knowledge of these violations prevents him from returning until the barriers are
10 removed.

11 15. Based on the violations, Plaintiff alleges, on information and belief, that
12 there are additional barriers to accessibility at the Business after further site inspection.
13 Plaintiff seeks to have all barriers related to his disability remedied. *See Doran v. 7-*
14 *Eleven, Inc.* 524 F.3d 1034 (9th Cir. 2008).

15 16. In addition, Plaintiff alleges, on information and belief, that Defendants
16 knew that particular barriers render the Business inaccessible, violate state and federal
17 law, and interfere with access for the physically disabled.

18 17. At all relevant times, Defendants had and still have control and dominion
19 over the conditions at this location and had and still have the financial resources to
20 remove these barriers without much difficulty or expenses to make the Business
21 accessible to the physically disabled in compliance with ADDAG and Title 24
22 regulations. Defendants have not removed such barriers and have not modified the
23 Business to conform to accessibility regulations.

24 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

25 **VIOLATION OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT OF 1990**

26 18. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior
27 paragraphs in this complaint.
28

1 19. Under the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (“ADA”), no individual
2 shall be discriminated against on the basis of disability in the full and equal enjoyment of
3 the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations of any place of
4 public accommodation by any person who owns, leases, or leases to, or operates a place
5 of public accommodation. *See* 42 U.S.C. § 12182(a).

6 20. Discrimination, *inter alia*, includes:

- 7 a. A failure to make reasonable modification in policies, practices, or
8 procedures, when such modifications are necessary to afford such
9 goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations
10 to individuals with disabilities, unless the entity can demonstrate that
11 making such modifications would fundamentally alter the nature of
12 such goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or
13 accommodations. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(ii).
- 14 b. A failure to take such steps as may be necessary to ensure that no
15 individual with a disability is excluded, denied services, segregated or
16 otherwise treated differently than other individuals because of the
17 absence of auxiliary aids and services, unless the entity can
18 demonstrate that taking such steps would fundamentally alter the
19 nature of the good, service, facility, privilege, advantage, or
20 accommodation being offered or would result in an undue burden. 42
21 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iii).
- 22 c. A failure to remove architectural barriers, and communication barriers
23 that are structural in nature, in existing facilities, and transportation
24 barriers in existing vehicles and rail passenger cars used by an
25 establishment for transporting individuals (not including barriers that
26 can only be removed through the retrofitting of vehicles or rail
27 passenger cars by the installation of a hydraulic or other lift), where
28 such removal is readily achievable. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv).

d. A failure to make alterations in such a manner that, to the maximum extent feasible, the altered portions of the facility are readily accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities, including individuals who use wheelchairs or to ensure that, to the maximum extent feasible, the path of travel to the altered area and the bathrooms, telephones, and drinking fountains serving the altered area, are readily accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities where such alterations to the path or travel or the bathrooms, telephones, and drinking fountains serving the altered area are not disproportionate to the overall alterations in terms of cost and scope. 42 U.S.C. § 12183(a)(2).

21. Where parking spaces are provided, accessible parking spaces shall be provided. 1991 ADA Standards § 4.1.2(5); 2010 ADA Standards § 208. One in every eight accessible spaces, but not less than one, shall be served by an access aisle 96 in (2440 mm) wide minimum and shall be designated "van accessible." 1991 ADA Standards § 4.1.2(5)(b). For every six or fraction of six parking spaces, at least one shall be a van accessible parking space. 2010 ADA Standards § 208.2.4.

22. For the parking spaces, access aisles shall be marked with a blue painted borderline around their perimeter. The area within the blue borderlines shall be marked with hatched lines a maximum of 36 inches (914 mm) on center in a color contrasting with that of the aisle surface, preferably blue or white. The words "NO PARKING" shall be painted on the surface within each access aisle in white letters a minimum of 12 inches (305 mm) in height and located to be visible from the adjacent vehicular way. CBC § 11B-502.3.3.

23. Here, the blue hatched lines, which indicate the presence of the access aisle were severely deteriorated, hindering visibility.

24. The surface of each accessible car and van space shall have surface identification complying with either of the following options: The outline of a profile

1 view of a wheelchair with occupant in white on a blue background a minimum 36" wide
2 by 36" high (914 mm x 914 mm). The centerline of the profile view shall be a maximum
3 of 6 inches (152 mm) from the centerline of the parking space, its sides parallel to the
4 length of the parking space and its lower side or corner aligned with the end of the
5 parking space length or by outlining or painting the parking space in blue and outlining
6 on the ground in white or a suitable contrasting color a profile view of a wheel chair with
7 occupant. See CBC § 11B-502.6.4, et seq.

8 25. Here, Defendants failed to maintain the mark on the space with the
9 International Symbol of Accessibility, resulting in the paint becoming severely
10 deteriorated, hindering visibility.

11 26. Under the 1991 Standards, parking spaces and access aisles must be level
12 with surface slopes not exceeding 1:50 (2%) in all directions. 1991 Standards § 4.6.2.
13 Accessible parking spaces shall be at least 96 in (2440 mm) wide. Parking access aisles
14 shall be part of an accessible route to the building or facility entrance and shall comply
15 with 4.3. Two accessible parking spaces may share a common access aisle. Parked
16 vehicle overhangs shall not reduce the clear width of an accessible route. Parking spaces
17 and access aisles shall be level with surface slopes not exceeding 1:50 (2%) in all
18 directions. 1991 Standards § 4.6.3.

19 27. Here, the van parking space and the adjacent accessible aisle are not
20 properly level as there were cracks and potholes causing an uneven surface. Under the
21 2010 Standards, access aisles shall be at the same level as the parking spaces they serve.
22 Changes in level are not permitted. 2010 Standards § 502.4. "Access aisles are required
23 to be nearly level in all directions to provide a surface for transfer to and from vehicles."
24 2010 Standards § 502.4 Advisory. Id. No more than a 1:48 slope is permitted.

25 28. A public accommodation shall maintain in operable working condition those
26 features of facilities and equipment that are required to be readily accessible to and usable
27 by persons with disabilities by the Act or this part. 28 C.F.R. 35.211(a).

1 35. The actions and omissions of Defendants alleged herein constitute a denial
2 of full and equal accommodation, advantages, facilities, privileges, or services by
3 physically disabled persons within the meaning of California Civil Code §§ 51 and 52.
4 Defendants have discriminated against Plaintiff in violation of California Civil Code §§
5 51 and 52.

6 36. The violations of the Unruh Civil Rights Act caused Plaintiff to experience
7 difficulty, discomfort, or embarrassment. The Defendants are also liable for statutory
8 damages as specified in California Civil Code §55.56(a)-(c).

9 **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

10 **VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA DISABLED PERSONS ACT**

11 37. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior
12 paragraphs in this complaint.

13 38. California Civil Code § 54.1(a) states, “Individuals with disabilities shall be
14 entitled to full and equal access, as other members of the general public, to
15 accommodations, advantages, facilities, medical facilities, including hospitals, clinics,
16 and physicians’ offices, and privileges of all common carriers, airplanes, motor vehicles,
17 railroad trains, motorbuses, streetcars, boats, or any other public conveyances or modes
18 of transportation (whether private, public, franchised, licensed, contracted, or otherwise
19 provided), telephone facilities, adoption agencies, private schools, hotels, loading places,
20 places of public accommodations, amusement, or resort, and other places in which the
21 general public is invited, subject only to the conditions and limitations established by
22 law, or state or federal regulation, and applicable alike to all persons.

23 39. California Civil Code § 54.3(a) states, “Any person or persons, firm or
24 corporation who denies or interferes with admittance to or enjoyment of public facilities
25 as specified in Sections 54 and 54.1 or otherwise interferes with the rights of an
26 individual with a disability under Sections 54, 54.1 and 54.2 is liable for each offense for
27 the actual damages, and any amount as may be determined by a jury, or a court sitting
28 without a jury, up to a maximum of three times the amount of actual damages but in no

1 case less than one thousand dollars (\$1,000) and any attorney's fees that may be
2 determined by the court in addition thereto, suffered by any person denied the rights
3 provided in Section 54, 54.1, and 54.2.

4 40. California Civil Code § 54(d) specifies, "a violation of the right of an
5 individual under Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-336) also
6 constitute a violation of this section, and nothing in this section shall be construed to limit
7 the access of any person in violation of that act.

8 41. The actions and omissions of Defendants alleged herein constitute a denial
9 of full and equal accommodation, advantages, and facilities by physically disabled
10 persons within the meaning of California Civil Code § 54. Defendants have
11 discriminated against Plaintiff in violation of California Civil Code § 54.

12 42. The violations of the California Disabled Persons Act caused Plaintiff to
13 experience difficulty, discomfort, and embarrassment. The Defendants are also liable for
14 statutory damages as specified in California Civil Code §55.56(a)-(c).

15 **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

16 **CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 19955, et seq.**

17 43. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior
18 paragraphs in this complaint.

19 44. Plaintiff and other similar physically disabled persons who require the use of
20 a wheelchair are unable to use public facilities on a "full and equal" basis unless each
21 such facility is in compliance with the provisions of California Health & Safety Code §
22 19955 et seq. Plaintiff is a member of the public whose rights are protected by the
23 provisions of California Health & Safety Code § 19955 et seq.

24 45. The purpose of California Health & Safety Code § 1995 et seq. is to ensure
25 that public accommodations or facilities constructed in this state with private funds
26 adhere to the provisions of Chapter 7 (commencing with Section 4450) of Division 5 of
27 Title 1 of the Government Code. The code relating to such public accommodations also
28 require that "when sanitary facilities are made available for the public, clients, or

1 employees in these stations, centers, or buildings, they shall be made available for
2 persons with disabilities.

3 46. Title II of the ADA holds as a “general rule” that no individual shall be
4 discriminated against on the basis of disability in the full and equal enjoyment of goods
5 (or use), services, facilities, privileges, and accommodations offered by any person who
6 owns, operates, or leases a place of public accommodation. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(a).
7 Further, each and every violation of the ADA also constitutes a separate and distinct
8 violation of California Civil Code §§ 54(c) and 54.1(d), thus independently justifying an
9 award of damages and injunctive relief pursuant to California law, including but not
10 limited to Civil Code § 54.3 and Business and Professions Code § 17200, et seq.

11 **FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

12 **NEGLIGENCE**

13 47. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior
14 paragraphs in this complaint.

15 48. Defendants have a general duty and a duty under the ADA, Unruh Civil
16 Rights Act and California Disabled Persons Act to provide safe and accessible facilities
17 to the Plaintiff.

18 49. Defendants breached their duty of care by violating the provisions of ADA,
19 Unruh Civil Rights Act and California Disabled Persons Act.

20 50. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants’ negligent conduct, Plaintiff
21 has suffered damages.

22 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

23 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays for relief and judgment against
24 Defendants as follows:

25 1. For preliminary and permanent injunction directing Defendants to comply
26 with the Americans with Disability Act and the Unruh Civil Rights Act;

27 2. Award of all appropriate damages, including but not limited to statutory
28 damages, general damages and treble damages in amounts, according to proof;

1 3. Award of all reasonable restitution for Defendants' unfair competition
2 practices;

3 4. Reasonable attorney's fees, litigation expenses, and costs of suit in this
4 action;

5 5. Prejudgment interest pursuant to California Civil Code § 3291; and

6 6. Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

7 **DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY**

8 Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby
9 demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

10
11 Dated: January 23, 2025

SO. CAL. EQUAL ACCESS GROUP

12
13
14 By: /s/ Jason J. Kim
15 Jason J. Kim, Esq.
16 Attorneys for Plaintiff
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28